COOK'S FRONT DOOR JUDGE GEARMANT WRESTLES WITH HS PREPOSE ON SUNDAY.

Merchant Gets Up in Reply to Clerks' Association Prosecution That His Front Door Was Open to Adult Entrance to His Living Rooms in the Rear-Clerks' Witnesses Swear to Parchases

yesterday on the charge of selling goods on Sunday. Cook conducts a men's funishing store at #0 West Superior street, and lives in the back of his store with his family. This case was on all day yesteday in monicipal count. It created a great deal of interest. Judge Genthart fined Cook 35 and costs.

The case was prosecuted by the Retail Citek's association, and the complainant was Archie Kenney, a member of the association for of the objects of the association is to protect the storktopers as well as the members of the association.

Moses Cook was tried in municipal court

and was returned vermely, in hemory of the association. One of the objects of the association is the property of the storekeepers and the association are the association and the association makes that as shert working hours be granted to its members as possible. The proprietors of the stores, it is said, accede to this request of the elerks as far as possible, but of late several complaints have been made that some stores not meuliers of the association sell gods at all hours of the day and on Sunday.

The elerks' association, it is said, determined to take an advantage of the lay.

regarding selling goods on Sunday and Mr Cook happened to be the man selected. It seems that two men, one named Nelson, were hired by the clerks' association last Sunday to make a purchase at Mr. Cook's store. According to their story in court yesterday, they went into Mr. Cook's store last Sunday morning and purchased a necktile and a pair of socks. The reason that the young men gave for going in Mr. Cook's store was that the frint door of the store was open.

Mr. Cook, going on the stana, denied that the yourchase in question was made at his store on the day mentioned. He said that he lived with his family in the family in the family in the said that he lived with his family in the side was the said that he lived with his family in the side was the said that he lived with his family in the side was the said that he lived with his family in the side was the said that he lived with his family in the side was the said that he lived with his family in the said that he was that he was the said that he was

that the purchase in question was made at his store on the day mentioned. He sold that he lived with his family in the back of the store, and that the store entrance was the only door that led into the building and it was commodly open all have made and the store at the time the attest were in the store at the time the attest purchase was made, but both denied having seen the young men in the store or the selling of the goods. The policeman on the best by Mr. Cook's store testi-

field that Mr. Cook's front door was always open as it is the only entrance to the building, but that he had never seen Mr. Cook doing any business in the store on Sunday Judge Gearbart thought that the law regarding selling on Sunday had slightly been infracted, however, and fined Mr. Cook \$5 and costs, which was paid.